

# POWER SYSTEM FAULT IDENTIFICATION AND CLASSIFICATION IN FUEL CELLS VIA ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK

# 2024 MASTER THESIS ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC ENGINEERING

Rafah Hussein ALZURFI

Thesis Advisor Assist. Prof. Dr. Cihat ŞEKER

## POWER SYSTEM FAULT IDENTIFICATION AND CLASSIFICATION IN FUEL CELLS VIA ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK

Rafah Hussein ALZURFI

Thesis Advisor Assist. Prof. Dr. Cihat ŞEKER

T.C.

Karabuk University Institute of Graduate Programs Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Prepared as Master Thesis

> KARABUK January 2024

I certify that in my opinion the thesis submitted by Rafah Hussein ALZURFI titled "POWER SYSTEM FAULT DETECTION AND CLASSIFICATION BY USING ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK IN FUEL CELLS" is fully adequate in scope and in quality as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science.

Assist. Prof. Dr. Cihat ŞEKER ...... Thesis Advisor, Department of Computer Engineering

This thesis is accepted by the examining committee with a unanimous vote in the Department of Computer Engineering as a Master of Science thesis. January 22, 2024

| <u>Examining</u> | Committee Members (Institutions)          | <u>Signature</u> |
|------------------|---|------------------|
| Chairman         | : Assist. Prof. Dr. Tarik Almohamad (KBU) |                  |
| Member           | : Assist. Prof. Dr. Cihat ŞEKER (KBU)     |                  |
| Member           | : Assist. Prof. Dr. Bayram KÖSE (IBU)     |                  |

The degree of Master of Science by the thesis submitted is approved by the Administrative Board of the Institute of Graduate Programs, Karabuk University.

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Zeynep ÖZCAN ......
Director of the Institute of Graduate Programs

Rafah Hussein ALZURFI

<sup>&</sup>quot;I declare that all the information within this thesis has been gathered and presented in accordance with academic regulations and ethical principles and I have according to the requirements of these regulations and principles cited all those which do not originate in this work as well."

#### ABSTRACT

#### M. Sc. Thesis

## POWER SYSTEM FAULT IDENTIFICATION AND CLASSIFICATION IN FUEL CELLS VIA ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK

Rafah Hussein ALZURFI

Karabük University Institute of Graduate Programs The Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering

> Thesis Advisor: Assist. Prof. Dr. Cihat ŞEKER January 2024, 66 pages

The research delineated in this thesis is poised to contribute significantly to the Domain of fault diagnosis in industrial processes, with a specific emphasis on employing sophisticated processing and pattern recognition methodologies for bearing analysis. The primary thrust of the investigation is centered on the application of vibration analysis to discern and diagnose issues in bearings. To this end, an Artificial Neural Network (ANN) is deployed for the analysis of input-output datasets extracted from a Matlab-Simulink-based Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell (PEMFC) model, specifically the 6kw-45Vdc model.

The articulated ANN is designed to furnish steady-state predictions predicated on the provided input. Subsequently, the output of the PEMFC is scrutinized vis-a-vis The model's output, particularly in response to emergent events inducing alterations in the plant's output voltage or current. A residual signal is systematically monitored and

employed as a diagnostic tool to identify and characterize defects within the system. The empirical phase of data collection entails meticulous acquisition from a system or test rig, with due consideration accorded to diverse fault typologies, encompassing Abrupt, Incipient, and Intermittent faults.

The steady-state simulation is built around three inputs: heat, fuel pressure, in addition air pressure, as well as two outputs: voltage and current. Matlab's Simulink platform serves as the instrumental medium for comprehensive system modeling.

The subsequent research phase pivots towards the utilization of an Artificial Neural Network for condition categorization. A nuanced exploration and juxtaposition of various supervised learning algorithms, inclusive of support vector machines, random forests, and extreme learning machines, is undertaken to discern the optimal method for effecting bearing fault classification.

In summation, this research orchestrates a methodically comprehensive approach to fault diagnosis, encompassing meticulous data collection, exacting system modeling via Simulink, and the judicious application of advanced machine learning paradigms through an Artificial Neural Network. The overarching objective is the discernment and diagnosis of bearing faults within the context of industrial processes.

**Key Words** : Artificial Neural Network, fuel pressure, fault typologies, Fuel Cell **Science Code** : 90517

#### ÖZET

#### Yüksek Lisans Tezi

## YAKIT HÜCRELERİNDE YAPAY SİNİR AĞI KULLANILARAK GÜÇ SİSTEMİ ARIZA TESPİTİ VE SINIFLANDIRMASI

Rafah Hussein ALZURFI

Karabük Üniversitesi Lisansüstü Eğitim Enstitüsü Elektrik-Elektronik Mühendisliği Bölümü

> Tez Danışmanı: Assist. Prof. Dr. Cihat ŞEKER Ocak 2024, 66 sayfa

Bu tezde anlatılan araştırma, rulman analizi için gelişmiş işleme ve model tanıma metodolojilerinin kullanılmasına özel bir vurgu yaparak, endüstriyel proseslerdeki arıza teşhisi alanına önemli ölçüde katkıda bulunmaya hazırdır. Araştırmanın temel amacı, rulmanlardaki sorunları ayırt etmek ve teşhis etmek için titreşim analizinin uygulanmasına odaklanıyor. Bu amaçla, Matlab-Simulink tabanlı Proton Değişim Membranlı Yakıt Hücresi (PEMFC) modelinden, özellikle de 6kw-45Vdc modelinden elde edilen giriş-çıkış veri setlerinin analizi için bir Yapay Sinir Ağı (YSA) kullanıldı. Eklemli YSA, sağlanan girdiye dayalı kararlı durum tahminleri sağlamak üzere tasarlanmıştır. Daha sonra, PEMFC'nin çıkışı, özellikle tesisin çıkış voltajında veya akımında değişikliklere neden olan acil olaylara yanıt olarak, modelin çıkışına göre incelenir. Artık sinyal sistematik olarak izlenir ve sistemdeki kusurları tanımlamak ve karakterize etmek için bir teşhis aracı olarak kullanılır.

Veri toplamanın ampirik aşaması, Ani, Başlangıç ve Aralıklı arızaları kapsayan çeşitli arıza tipolojilerine uygun olarak bir sistemden veya test donanımından titiz bir şekilde edinilmesini gerektirir. Kararlı durum simülasyonu üç girdi etrafında inşa edilmiştir: ısı, yakıt basıncı, ek olarak hava basıncı ve ayrıca iki çıktı: voltaj ve akım. Matlab'ın Simulink platformu, kapsamlı sistem modellemesi için araçsal bir ortam olarak hizmet vermektedir.

Sonraki araştırma aşaması, durum sınıflandırması için Yapay Sinir Ağının kullanımına doğru dönmektedir.

Rulman arızası sınıflandırmasını etkilemek için en uygun yöntemi belirlemek amacıyla, destek vektör makineleri, rastgele ormanlar ve ekstrem öğrenme makineleri de dahil olmak üzere çeşitli denetimli öğrenme algoritmalarının incelikli bir şekilde araştırılması ve yan yana getirilmesi gerçekleştirilir.

Özetle, bu araştırma, titiz veri toplamayı, Simulink aracılığıyla titiz sistem modellemeyi ve Yapay Sinir Ağı aracılığıyla gelişmiş makine öğrenimi paradigmalarının akıllıca uygulanmasını kapsayan, hata teşhisine yönelik yöntemsel olarak kapsamlı bir yaklaşımı düzenlemektedir. Kapsamlı amaç, endüstriyel prosesler bağlamında rulman arızalarının tespiti ve teşhisidir.

Anahtar Kelimeler : Yapay Sinir Ağı, Yakıt Basıncı, Arıza Tipolojileri, Yakıt PiliBilim Kodu: 90517

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Thanks and appreciation be to "Allah" for our safety, health and the facilities we got during the year of the research.

First, I can't express my gratitude to my supervise Assist. Prof. Dr. Cihat ŞEKER for his patience and time. And I feel really lucky to have a whole year of his precious and valuable advice. And I hope to continue other researches after graduation.

Second thanks to doctor Abbas Hussein for help me and also thanks go to the staff of the Department of Electrical Engineering of University of Karabuk specially the for providing the facilities for this work.

Finally, I sincerely thank my thanks to my family, especially my mother, brothers, and my wife for providing everything I needed and supporting me through the MSc. courses.

### CONTENTS

Page

| APPROVALii   |
|--|
| ABSTRACTiv   |
| ÖZETvi   |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTviii                                    |
| CONTENTSix   |
| LIST OF FIGURESxii                                     |
| LIST OF TABLESxiv                                      |
| LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS xv                   |
| PART 1   |
| INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW                     |
| 1.1. BACKGROUND  |
| 1.2. FAULT DETECTION AND DIAGNOSIS                     |
| 1.3. ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE                           |
| 1.4. FUEL CELLS  |
| 1.5. AIM OF THE WORK                                   |
| 1.6. THESIS LAYOUT                                     |
| 1.7. PROBLEM STATEMENT                                 |
| PART 2   |
| FUNDAMENTAL THEORETIC CONCEPTS AND LITERATURE REVIEW 5 |
| 2.1. INTRODUCTION                                      |
| 2.2. LITERATURE REVIEW                                 |
| 2.3. DETECTION OF FAULTS                               |
| 2.3.1. Definition of Fault                             |
| 2.3.2. Failure, Malfunction and Fault                  |
| 2.3.3. Fault classifications                           |
| 2.3.4. Summary of Diagnosis Techniques [30]17          |
| 2.3.5. Isolation of Faults                             |

## Раде

|  | Page |
|--|------|
| 2.4. CELLS OF FUEL BASED ON PEM                  |      |
| 2.4.1. Introduction                              |      |
| 2.4.2. Mathematical Model                        |      |
| 2.4.3. Fuel Cells Faults                         |      |
| 2.5. ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORKS (ANN)            |      |
| 2.5.1. Summary                                   |      |
| 2.5.2. The Algorithm of Levenberg-Marquardt      |      |
|  | 24   |
| PART 5   |      |
| 2 1 INTRODUCTION                                 |      |
| 2.2 DRODOSED ED AMEWORK                          |      |
| 2.2. PROPOSED FRAME WORK                         |      |
| 3.4 DESIGN OF A NEURAL NETWORK                   |      |
| 3.5 EATH TS TYDES                                |      |
| 3.5.1 Model Examination                          |      |
| 3.5.2 Foult A brunt                              |      |
| 3.5.2. Fault Abrupt                              |      |
| 3.5.4 Foult Intermittent                         |      |
| 2.6 SEDADATE AND AVOIDING CIDCUIT                |      |
| 2.7. CLASSIEICATION CIRCUIT DESIGN               |      |
| 5.7. CLASSIFICATION CIRCUIT DESIGN               |      |
| PART 4   |      |
| FDC SYSTEM SIMULATION RESULTS                    |      |
| 4.1. INTRODUCTION                                |      |
| 4.2. CONNECTING NN RESULTS                       |      |
| 4.2.1. Abrupt Fault Results                      |      |
| 4.2.2. Incipient Fault Results                   |      |
| 4.3. CLASSIFICATION AND DETECTING FAULT RESULTS  |      |
| 4.4. INPUT CHANGING DETECTING AND CLASSIFICATION |      |
| 4.4.1. Case A Faut                               |      |
| 4.4.2. Case B fault                              |      |
| 4.4.3. Case C Fault                              |      |

## Page

| 4.4.4. Case AB Fault                           |    |
|--|----|
| 4.4.5. Case AC Fault                           |    |
| 4.4.6. Case BC Fault                           |    |
| 4.4.7. Case ABC Fault                          | 50 |
| 4.4.9. Case AC Fault                           |    |
| 4.4.9. Case BC Fault                           | 53 |
| 4.4.10. Case ABC Fault                         |    |
| 4.5. CONNECTION TO THE ENTIRE SYSTEM           | 55 |
| 4.6. STUDIES IN COMPARISON TO OTHER LITERATURE |    |
|  |    |
| PART 5   | 58 |
| CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS                | 58 |
| 5.1. CONCLUSIONS                               |    |
| 5.2. FUTURE WORK RECOMMENDATIONS               | 58 |
|  |    |
| REFERENCES                                     | 60 |
|  |    |
|  |    |
| CURRICULUM VITAE                               | 66 |

#### LIST OF FIGURES

| I age |
|-------|
|-------|

| Figure 2.1.  | Malfunction due to the development of a fault                | . 14 |
|--------------|--|------|
| Figure 2.2.  | Types of time-dependent faults                               | . 14 |
| Figure 2.3.  | Signal-Based Fault Detection Schematic Diagram               | . 15 |
| Figure 2.4.  | Fault multiplication   | . 16 |
| Figure 2.5.  | Additive fault   | . 16 |
| Figure 2.6.  | Diagram of a model-based fault detection block.              | . 18 |
| Figure 2.7.  | Diagram of a PEMFC.  | . 19 |
| Figure 2.8.  | A unit's several activation functions.                       | . 22 |
| Figure 2.9.  | Structure of BPNN  | . 23 |
| Figure 3.1.  | Flowchart of the proposed system.                            | . 24 |
| Figure 3.2.  | PEMFC Block in MATLAB Simulink.                              | . 25 |
| Figure 3.3.  | Connection for data acquisition.                             | . 26 |
| Figure 3.4.  | Flowchart of the back propagating algorithm training process | . 27 |
| Figure 3.5.  | Block for creating a neural network Simulink                 | . 28 |
| Figure 3.6.  | The NN model's internal structure.                           | . 29 |
| Figure 3.7.  | Manually evaluating the model's achievement.                 | . 30 |
| Figure 3.8.  | Fault Abrupt additional                                      | . 31 |
| Figure 3.9.  | Fault Incipient additional.                                  | . 32 |
| Figure 3.10. | Fault Intermittent additional                                | . 33 |
| Figure 3.11. | Subsystem of separation.                                     | . 34 |
| Figure 3.12. | Isolation subsystem internal structure                       | . 35 |
| Figure 3.13. | The hold block's internal structure                          | . 36 |
| Figure 3.14. | Hold circuit.  | . 36 |
| Figure 3.15. | Detection and classification circuit.                        | . 37 |
| Figure 4.1.  | Results of NN modeling test circuit                          | . 39 |
| Figure 4.2.  | Abrupt fault addition signals                                | . 39 |
| Figure 4.3.  | Incipient fault addition signals.                            | . 40 |
| Figure 4.4.  | Intermittent fault addition signals                          | . 41 |
| Figure 4.5.  | Detection and classification.                                | . 42 |
| Figure 4.6.  | Multistage fault.  | . 43 |

## Page

| Figure 4.7.  | Multistage line A                         |
|--------------|---|
| Figure 4.8.  | Line A fault addition signal              |
| Figure 4.9.  | Multistage line B                         |
| Figure 4.10. | Line B fault addition signal              |
| Figure 4.11. | Multistage line C                         |
| Figure 4.12. | Line C fault addition signa               |
| Figure 4.13. | Multistage line AB                        |
| Figure 4.14. | Line AB fault addition signal             |
| Figure 4.16. | Line AC fault addition signal             |
| Figure 4.17. | Multistage line BC                        |
| Figure 4.18. | Line AC fault addition signal             |
| Figure 4.19. | Multistage line ABC                       |
| Figure 4.20. | Line ABC fault addition signa             |
| Figure 4.21. | Multistage line AB                        |
| Figure 4.22. | Line AB fault addition signal             |
| Figure 4.23. | Multistage line AC                        |
| Figure 4.24. | Line AC fault addition signal             |
| Figure 4.25. | Multistage line BC                        |
| Figure 4.26. | Line BC fault addition signal             |
| Figure 4.27. | Multistage line ABC                       |
| Figure 4.28. | Line ABC fault addition signal            |
| Figure 4.27. | All black boxes will be tested            |
| Figure 4.28. | Signals of Figure 4.21's scope            |
| Figure 4.29. | Studies in Comparison to Other Literature |

#### LIST OF TABLES

| Table 2.1. PEMFC against other forms of fuel cell technology. | 21 |
|---|----|
| Table 3.1. Model parameters for PEMFC in Matlab               | 26 |
| Table 3.2. The isolation circuit's output.                    | 34 |
| Table 4.1. Compared to Other Investigations.                  | 57 |

Page

#### LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

#### SYMBOL

- *H*<sub>2</sub> : Hydrogen gas molecule
- $H^+$  : Hydrogen proton
- *e*<sup>-</sup> : Electron
- *0*<sub>2</sub> : Oxygen gas molecule
- $H_2O$  : Water molecule
- Vcell : Actual fuel cell voltage
- *E* : Equilibrium thermodynamic potential
- $\eta$  : Over potential
- ηact : Activation over potential
- nohmic : Ohmic over potential
- ηdiff : Diffusion over potential

#### ABBREVIATIONS

- ANFIS : Adaptive Neuro- Fuzzy Inference System
- AFC : Alkaline Fuel cell
- ASIC : Application Specific Integrated Circuit
- AI : Artificial Intelligence
- ANN : Artificial Neural Network
- BPNN : Back Propagation Neural Network
- DMFC : Direct Methanol Fuel cell
- FDD : Fault Detection and Diagnosis
- FDI : Fault Detection and Isolation
- FTC : Fault Tolerant Control

| Hwcosim | : Hardware Co-Simulation                |
|---------|---|
| HNN     | : Hardware Neural Network               |
| LED     | : Light Emitting Diode                  |
| LMBP    | : Levenberg- Marquardt Back Propagation |
| MCFC    | : Molten Carbonate Fuel cell            |
| MLP     | : Multi-Layer Perceptron                |
| NN      | : Neural Network                        |
| PC      | : Personal Computer                     |
| PAFC    | : Phosphoric Acid Fuel cell             |
| PEMFC   | : Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell    |
| RBF     | : Radial Basis Function                 |
| SOFC    | : Solid Oxide Fuel cell                 |
| UPS     | : Uninterruptible Power Supply          |
|         |   |

USB FDC : Universal Serial Bus Fault Detection and Classification

#### PART 1

#### INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW

#### **1.1. BACKGROUND**

Over the last three decades, a significant effort has been made to improve defect diagnosis tools. Artificial intelligence (AI) involves a popular method for doing diagnosis tasks, whereas diagnosis is a complex intellectual behavior that involves decision making processes and association rules are employed in the same way as the human brain does similar activities. Because of the need for reliability, cost, efficiency, and fault tolerance in dynamic systems, failure detection and diagnosis (FDD) are critical [1]. This thesis presents a novel approach to developing an FD system.

#### **1.2. FAULT DETECTION AND DIAGNOSIS**

After recognizing the defect and its development, the source of hazard can be averted by making timely actions. Monitoring provides an opportunity to implement a strategic strategy that will make it easier to control the availability and use of equipment. The ideal way to execute the FDI system technology in terms of cost, dependability, and efficiency of fuel cell fulfillment is through the creation of diagnosis based on modeling that utilizes residual fault development of sensitivity [2].

Fault detection and isolation (FDI) as well as fault tolerant control (FTC) are utilized to identify defects, diagnose them, and manage them in order to avoid process deterioration and danger situations [3].

#### **1.3. ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE**

A variety of methodologies and approaches have been utilized over the last few

decades to regulate and enhance a wide range of systems, with hybrid networks, fuzzy logic, neural networks and genetic such as the Adaptive Network Based Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS) being the most efficient and still in development. Since then, system identification approaches, particularly artificial neural networks (ANNs), have yielded more realistic models of fuel cells.

They are effective tools for mapping complicated systems with nonlinear input-output interactions [6].

Referring to the suggestions of previous studies, use artificial neural networks (ANNs) [27].

Biological networks affected computer or mathematical frameworks known as ANNs [7]. Back Propagation Neural Network (BPNN) represents a controlled technique and the most widely used network proposed by [8].

#### **1.4. FUEL CELLS**

In the domain of fuel cells, electrical power is generated through the chemical interaction between an oxidizing agent, typically oxygen, and positively charged hydrogen ions. This complex process converts the chemical energy contained in a fuel into electrical power. Notably divergent from electrochemical batteries, which rely on internal chemical reactions to cause an electromagnetic force (emf) to be generated, fuel cells necessitate an uninterrupted supply of fuel as well as oxygen for keeping the chemical reaction going. Given an ongoing provision of these inputs, fuel cells can reliably and consistently generate electrical power [4].

In the context of an expanding global awareness regarding environmental concerns and air pollution, there is a mounting imperative for pioneering solutions to ameliorate the prevailing environmental landscape and assuage the energy crisis. Fuel cells emerge as indispensable contributors to this exigency, offering the expeditious conversion of gaseous chemical energy into electrical power, thereby operating as highly efficient and environmentally benign power generators. Among the spectrum of fuel cell categories, the proton exchange membrane fuel cell (PEMFC) distinguishes itself on multiple fronts, encompassing a low temperature performing range (20 °C to 100 °C), rapid initiation capabilities, elevated power density, lightweight structural attributes, and minimal acoustic emissions. Nonetheless, judicious application of PEMFC in commercial contexts mandates careful consideration, particularly in light of potential safety concerns contingent upon the purity of the utilized hydrogen source [5].

#### **1.5. AIM OF THE WORK**

The goal of this thesis is to use ANNs to create a defect identification and categorization network for a PEMFC system.

#### **1.6. THESIS LAYOUT**

The thesis can be separated into the five chapters listed below:

- **Part two** provides a theoretical overview of the most relevant subjects related to the study. Fault detection, fuel cells, and artificial intelligence (ANN). This chapter also mentions the planned system.
- **Part three** covers data collecting and ANN training. Examine the model, design a classification circuit, and test the FDI along with FDC systems for three types of defects.
- **Part four** is about putting the suggested FDC system into action. Changing the voltage at the input to identify fault for each input, as well as creating the entire circuit.
- **Part five** presents the gathered conclusions for the completed study as well as suggestions and recommendations for future work.

#### **1.7. PROBLEM STATEMENT**

Issues with Other Technologies [27]:

The referenced study [27] underscores certain challenges inherent in alternative technologies; however, the specific nature of these challenges is not explicitly delineated in the current discourse. A thorough examination of the study's findings would offer valuable insights into the limitations of alternative approaches. Such insights can fortify the rationale behind opting for Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs).

Generalization and Response to Unexpected Inputs/Patterns [35]:

- The selection of ANNs for this thesis is underscored by their commendable capacity for generalization and adept handling of unforeseen inputs or patterns [35]. This characteristic resilience positions ANNs as formidable tools capable of discerning and adapting to nuanced data structures, a quality pivotal in scenarios necessitating adaptability and robust learning.
- Mapping Intricate Systems with Nonlinear Input-to-Output Interactions [6]:
- As posited in literature [6], the efficacy of ANNs resides in their proficiency to model intricate systems characterized by nonlinear input-to-output interactions. This distinctive attribute sets ANNs apart, particularly when confronted with intricate datasets where conventional linear models may falter. The application of ANNs is thus aptly tailored for tasks mandating the discernment of complex and nonlinear relationships.

#### **1.8. THESIS OBJECTIVES**

The objectives of this thesis are as follows:

- The data is collected from a system studied while accounting for the various faults types.
- Use Artificial Neural Network to Classification faults.

#### PART 2

## FUNDAMENTAL THEORETIC CONCEPTS AND LITERATURE REVIEW

#### **2.1. INTRODUCTION**

This section provides a theoretical foundation for defect detection besides its various types and methodologies, fuel cells, particularly the PEMFC category, AI, and ANN, all of which are employed in this proposition.

#### **2.2. LITERATURE REVIEW**

This section provides an overview of the three most significant issues related to this work: ANN, FDD, as well as fuel cell. The review includes the following ten years of investigation:

SUN, et al., 2005, [12] primary and foremost, an ANFIS identifying simulation of PEMFC was created, then a Neuro-fuzzy PEMFC regulator that works 4 online was created. The result was that an ANFIS model of the complicated Nonlinear PEMFC system may be created and used for online forecasting of heat response.

Luis, et al., 2006, [13] demonstrated how different fault types influenced a PEMFC model. A visualization probability simulation for fault diagnosis is created utilizing databases in addition statistical approaches including as the Bayesian scoring besides Markov chain Monte Carlo. The experiments have shown that the original fault sources and the inference outputs are completely consistent.

Luis A.M. Riasco, et al., 2008, [14] described a system capable of diagnosing several

types of defects while a PEMFC is in operation. A diagnosis is developed using Bayesian networks to measure and assess the cause-and-effect relationship within the variables in the development.

Yuedong, et al., 2009, [15] explored the approach to control and fault management to acquire the efficiency of PEMFC under a variety of operational situations while avoiding membranes drying or dehydration, hydrogen and air starvation in the cathode and anode, and membrane leak. The study's application included (UPS) uninterruptible power supply.

T. Escobet, et al., 2009, [8] The study introduces a methodology for model-based fault diagnostics tailored for Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell (PEMFC) systems. The crux of this approach lies in the computation of residuals—indicators derived from a comparative analysis of measured inputs and outputs against mathematical relationships established through meticulous system modelling.

M. ELSayed, et al., 2010, [16] created an ANN simulation. The created simulation intends to build a non-parametric simulation. The Levenberg-Marquardt Back Propagation (LMBP) algorithm was used to create the ANN model. The strong consistency with ANN Modeling data obtained allows us to have confidence in the high degree of ANN model dependability that can be applied in applications involving fuel cells.

Djamel Benazzouz, et al., 2011, [17] presented the multi-layer perceptron (MLP) structure and designed an FDI system utilizing the LMBP algorithm. To save money and time on troubleshooting. They focused their investigation on the use of steam turbines for electricity generation. The results demonstrated quick convergence and precision.

Mahanijah and Dingli, 2011, [2] created a model based on FDD that uses (RBF) radial basis function systems to identify and classify faults. The RBF system is utilized to mimic defective and free fault data sets, as well as to implement FDD for 5 faults that typically occur in these types of systems. Faults in sensors, components, and actuators

are taken into account.

Aitouche, et al., 2011, [18] suggested a defect system of detection determined by the mathematical PEMFC simulation and employing analytical nonlinear redundancy. The residuals are produced by removing the unknown variables.

Erkan and Osman, 2011, [19] built the internal difficult electrochemical calculations and reactions using an ANN. The LMBP neural network was utilized to create a model with outstanding modeling and performance accuracy when 3 inputs (cell temperature, oxygen flow, hydrogen flow) as well as two outcomes (current and voltage) were used. Meng and Mu-Jia, 2012, [20] suggested a PEMFC condition monitoring FDD system based on ZigBee sensors and a Modbus interface. The study took into account voltage, current, temperature, and fuel pressure characteristics. The system was built using PCbased software. Test findings show that training time is short and accuracy is good.

Ali.Mohammadi, et al., 2013, [21] developed a circuit-based model that takes into account the two-dimensional change in pressure, humidity, and temperature within stacks of PEMFCs. The study attempts to investigate multiple flaws while the capacitance and resistance of the circuit alter in response to changes in system parameters.

Mahanijah and Dingli, 2013, [22] provided an RBF for an FDI system that performs classification, isolation, and identification. In the PEMFC system, one component problem, one actuator fault, in addition 3 sensor faults were investigated. -The fault size was increased from 7% to +10%. The system was designed in a simulation environment, resulting in faster reaction and increased efficiency.

R. Petrone, et al., 2013, [23] presented white-box, black-box and grey-box models for diagnosing PEMFC systems. The grey-box is effective with less energy. The white box is correct. The black-box predicts irregular system parameters and approximations quite well.

Mahanijah and Dingli, 2014, [1] For isolation, employed an MLP network and an RBF

classifier. 5 faults with fault sizes of +10% of nominal values were successfully isolated and detected. Michigan University's benchmark model was utilized in conjunction with the modeling environment Matlab R2000aSimulink. All faults were precisely and appropriately isolated.

Ali., et al., 2015, [24] studied a Fuel Cell Electric trains FDD for varied current concentrations in an experimentally calibrated 3D sensitive simulation. The categorization of the flaws was done using ANN based on the three-dimensional model.

The study focused on four main terms: FDI, fuel cells, AI and FPGA. Neither of the research findings in the investigation incorporate all four keywords. Mahanijah and Dingli, 2014, [1] as well as Djamel, et al., 2011, [17] are the most similar studies to ours. Both do not have a hardware representation, which makes our investigation unique, particularly when utilizing the ANN.

H. A. Tokel, et al., 2018, [25] A critical responsibility for a reliable operation is the identification and classification of defective circumstances in power systems. Recently, some academics have suggested using high-resolution synchronized phasor measurements for identifying and categorizing faults.

A novel method for detecting and classifying faults in power systems using machine learning.

S. M. Chopdar and A. K. Koshti,2022 [26] A progressive growth in the number of transmission lines is also occurring as a result of the rising load demand. The likelihood of faults occurring rises along with the expansion of transmission lines.

Rajiv et al. [50] describe a model-based strategy for robust fault identification and isolation in a pair of continuously stirred tank reactor. For robust defect detection, the scheme employs sliding mode observers.

In an instance of parameter uncertainty in the system model, detection is performed.

Simulated defects in sensors, actuators, and plant parameters of operation validate the detection of fault with isolation strategy. Additionally, the technique gives a way for evaluating the system's parameter error.

Dexter, A.L., 1995, [51], presents a model-based fault diagnosis approach that employs explicit fuzzy models of reference to characterize the indications associated with faulty and fault-free plant operation. The diagnosis approach computes an indicator of the fundamental ambiguity in the diagnosis and gives an interval of trust for every one of possible diagnoses. This method displayed an air conditioning plant's mixing box.

Garcia and Frank [52], 1997, provide an overview of the major observer-based fault diagnosis methodologies for nonlinear systems. Some schemes are discussed for expanding commonly used diagnostic techniques for linear structures to the nonlinear case. This scheme's resilience in the case of uncertain inputs is examined. The study concludes with an explanation of some outstanding issues.

Mechefske (1998) [53] discusses the application of fuzzy logic approaches to categorize frequency spectra reflecting distinct rolling element bearing problems. A wide range of fuzzy set shapes were used to process the frequency spectra indicating various fault states. The use of fundamental fuzzy logic approaches has resulted in the generation of fuzzy numbers that indicate the similarity of frequency spectra. When the suitable mix of fuzzy set shapes and membership domain ranges was utilized, accurate categorization of different bearing failure spectra was found.

Weber, et al., 1999, [54], propose model-based fault detection approaches that enable the creation of the residuals as the fault indications and isolation that typically depend on an incidence matrix structure. The decision technique is carried out by qualitative reason based on fuzzy logic by aggregating the complimentary information provided by the 1 and 0 of the probability matrices. The incidence matrix structure's qualities have been utilized to reason about many flaws without verifying each combination. This algorithm's implementation has been used in an automobile engine. Commault et al., 2000, [55]. This research looked at an array of observer-based residuals in which the transmission of disruptions to remainders is zero while The transfer of faults to residuals enables fault isolation. The required and adequate requirements for generally resolving these problems are defined by means of input and output pathways in the related graph of the system and are frequently satisfied in practice.

BARTY and KOCIELNY, 2002, [56], offer four fuzzy logic isolation of faults techniques appropriate for use in smart final controls elements. These methods allow for the consideration of symptoms of ambiguity as well as real-time applicability. The techniques are distinguished by immunity features that protect against measurement noise. The more the immune components, the more the diminished or "flatness" diagnostic can be detected.

Abdelkader et al., 2003, [57], describe a sliding mode with multiple observers' architecture that allows estimating the vector representation for a nonlinear dynamical structure. The last one is impacted by unknown inputs acting on it via an established transmission matrix. The assessment of the state, and hence the estimation of output, can be utilized to detect and isolate faults. This method was shown using a popular three-tank setup.

Sotomayor et al., 2004, [58], present the design of a fault detection and isolation (FDI) system to monitor failures in sensors and actuators of a Fluid Catalytic Cracking (FCC) unit Model Predictive Control (MPC) system. The control system is based on an infinite-horizon MPC algorithm. The fault detection technique is built on two banks of robust observers, while the fault isolation task is completed using a structured residual approach.

Xing-Gang and C. Edwards, 2005, [59], provide powerful actuator failure detection as well as isolation for a group of a sliding mode observer is used in nonlinear uncertain systems. The observer in sliding mode is initially built using a Lyapunov equation with restrictions. The analogous output error injecting signal is then used to rebuild the signal for the fault using properties of the mode of sliding observer and the composition of the uncertainty. The HIRM aviation system simulation study is given. Rolink et al., 2006, [60], describe the construction of a three-tank FDI method based on sliding mode approaches. This paper emphasizes the a high-order sliding mode observer was designed to analyze the occurrence of actuator failures, and it covers two well-known algorithms for implementing sliding mode techniques: twisting and supertwisting. Both approaches provide very accurate fault estimation.

J. Juan and Rafael, 2007, [61], observed a fault diagnosis problem for a system that is not linear, the results are used for assessing fault diagnosability using a differential algebraic approach, and one nonlinear investigator employing a sliding method approach is provided for calculating faults; a different nonlinear investigator is also handled for purposes of comparing results.

Siahi et. al., 2008, [62], describe a novel adaptive methodology for identifying faults besides isolation. This method obtains an estimation of the fault signal, which offers important information on fault characteristics such as the scope and impact of the problem, which is required for many applications. The suggested approach is tested on an airplane model, and a rebuilt fault signal is gathered. The findings from simulation are compared to those obtained using the sliding mode technique.

Mendonca et al., 2009, [63], provide a model for fault identification and isolation that utilizes an architecture using a fuzzy technique. Fuzzy modelling is implemented to drive nonlinear mathematical models for the procedure while it is running normally and for each fault. When a problem occurs, the residual is used to detect the fault. The defective fuzzy models are then utilized to isolate a flaw. This article utilized a fuzzy making choices approach based on residual analysis to isolate defects. Several sudden and incipient defects are obtained using an industrial valve simulator.

Padmakumar. et al., 2010, [64]. For fault detection, the Kalman filter technique, together with along with residual calculations and hypothesis testing, and an alteration in residues for the current signal is monitored for detection. The study only considers incipient flaws. This work employs an extra order linear space of states model of a DC motor.

Luca. et al., 2011, [65], describe an FD method for manipulating robots that utilizes the idea of second-degree sliding modes. It is conceivable to detect a defect that may occur on a certain system constituent. Sliding modes of higher order Unknown Input Observers (UIO) have been suggested to provide the required analytical redundancy for detecting actuator problems. Instead, sensor defects are detected using a Generalized Observers Scheme (GOS).

Chang et al., 2012, [66], present a defect diagnostic scheme for nonlinear systems that combines a slide-mode observer with a Luenberger observer. Initially a nonlinear structure is divided into two distinct subsystems., one of which is unaffected by the disruptions; a Luenberger spectator is built for this subsystem, and a sliding-model spectator is built for the second, that is affected by the disruptions; an LMI-based method is used for designing the observer. A single-link automated arm is used to test the efficacy as well as practicality of the suggested method.

#### **2.3. DETECTION OF FAULTS**

The provisions that follow explain the classification, types, definition and procedures of defects.

#### 2.3.1. Definition of Fault

It is described as an unallowable modification in no less than one system characteristic attribute from the acceptable, standard condition or typical [25].

For FDD, a model-based method based on a threshold constraint and residual generation is the best way to get an acceptable choice. The discrepancy between predicted and actual values is the residual vector [2].

Model-based fault diagnosis is based on the generation of signals that indicate inaccuracies between conventional and defective system operation circumstances [26].

#### 2.3.2. Failure, Malfunction and Fault

After a defect occurs, it can become a malfunction, depending on the circumstances. A failure is a continuing disruption of the system's ability to implement a desired function.

A malfunction is an intermittent inconsistency in the system's ability to perform a function.

There is a distinction among failure with fault; failure denotes full component breakdown, while fault denotes just divergence from the standard features, as illustrated in Figure 2.1 [27].

- Fault: is an unallowable divergence of at least that's the minimum one system distinguishing attribute or parameter from the adequate, customary, or standard situation. Detection of flaws.
- Failure: occurs when a system's capability to fulfill an essential function within operational conditions stated is permanently disrupted.
- Malfunction: refers to an occasional inconsistency in a system's ability to perform its intended function.
- Error: A difference in a measurable or calculated value for an output variable from its real or theoretically accurate value.
- Disturbance: uncontrolled and unknown input operating on a system.
- Residual: An indication of failure based on the variation between observation and model-equation calculation.
- Symptom: A deviation from normal behavior in an observable quantity.
- The subsections that follow explain the definition, types, classification, and procedures of defects.

There is a distinction between fault and failure; failure denotes full component breakdown, whereas fault denotes just divergence from usual features. Figure (2.1) depicts the relationship between malfunctions, failures, and faults. The appropriate system feature associated with the fault is considered to be proportionate to the fault's

progress. When the range of typical ranges is exceeded, the features signal a defect. A malfunction or failure of a system occurs at a particular time te, depending on its size.



Figure 2.1. Malfunction due to the development of a fault.

### 2.3.3. Fault classifications

As illustrated in Figure 2.2, the temporal dependency of faults may be differentiated, with the three types denoted by letters a, b, and c depending on the fault type [28]:

- a) A severe and perhaps fatal flaw.
- b) Emerging flaw.
- c) Intermittent failure.



Figure 2.2. Types of time-dependent faults.

According to their occurrence location:

- Actuator errors result in either a complete or partial absence of control action.
- faults of sensor represent inaccurate sensor readings from the system's sensors.
- Component faults are problems in the plant's components. A component fault is defined as any failure that is not an actuator or sensor fault.



Figure 2.3. Signal-Based Fault Detection Schematic Diagram.

As stated by their illustration:

• Fault multiplication is utilized to depict actuator besides sensor faults, as observed in Figure 2.3. Specimens of this category of problem are a leak in a pipeline with an electromagnetic proportionally the flow of acting control valve [29].



Figure 2.4. Fault multiplication.

• As illustrated in Figure 2.4, additive faults reflect additional shortcomings in general than multiplicative faults. A bypass in the conductivity of a power contact is a prime instance of this sort of defect [29].



Figure 2.5. Additive fault.

The physical characteristics and models of the defect determine whether the fault is additive or multiplicative. Many sensors and process failures are classified as additive. Actuator failures are multiplicative rather than additively modelled [29].

### 2.3.4. Summary of Diagnosis Techniques [30]

- To diagnose problems, rule-based approaches rely on professional expertise expressed as a collection of established rules.
- Model-based methods define a mathematical description of a system in addition comparison it to the detected state to see if it matches.
- For diagnosis, statistical approaches such as connection, histogram comparison, and probability theory are used for summarizing and interpreting empirical data.
- Machine-learning approaches use clustering to find behaviors patterns or utilize data for training to evaluate if the system is unwell and the probable cause.
- Threshold and Count methods distinguish among transient and periodic problems.
- The presentation tools enable operators to observe data trends and detect aberrant activity.

The redundant analysis FDC approaches are classified as quantitative or qualitative model-based methodologies. The observer-based approaches for generating residues for FDC are qualitative techniques based on modeling that use explicit mathematical frameworks and control theories. The use of AI techniques is seen as a quantitative model-based method [31]. Figure 2.5 depicts the model-based FDC block diagram.



Figure 2.6. Diagram of a model-based fault detection block.

#### **2.3.5. Isolation of Faults**

It is not just detecting the defect but similarly unique the sorts of fault. One of the following methods can be used to generate residuals. The first approach is the direction residual approach, in which the type of defect is determined by the vector direction. The second way is the structured residual approach, in which Each defect has its own vector pointing to it, i.e. each vector relates to a specific fault type [31].

#### 2.4. CELLS OF FUEL BASED ON PEM

In the subsections below, a quick theoretical description of the PEMFC is provided.

#### 2.4.1. Introduction

Fuel cells are classed by means of Alkaline Fuel Cells (AFC), PEMFC, Direct Methanol Fuel Cells (DMFC), Molten Carbonate Fuel Cells (MCFC), Phosphoric Acid

Fuel Cells (PAFC), and Solid Oxide Fuel Cells (SOFC) based on the substance of the electrolyte. The PEMFC is highly effective in many processes, including small-scale generating and transportation, as well as portable energy storage.

devices. PEMFC has several advantages, including fast initialization, a high-power weight, high efficiency, low temperature of operation, and a simple structure [32].

As shown in Figure 2.6, PEMFC has a dual electrode the anode and the cathode, divided by solid of electrolyte membrane. The hydrogen gas passes through a system of pipes to the anode, wherever it splits into protons, which flow to the cathode across a electrons and membrane, which are gathered as a voltage by a circuit from the outside connecting the two electrodes. Oxygen goes down through an analogous system of tubes to the cathode, wherever it mixes with electrons that are in the circuit outside and proton flow across the membrane to form water [33].



Figure 2.7. Diagram of a PEMFC.

The following reactions of chemicals occur at both the cathode and anode electrode of a PEMFC [33]:
Reaction of anode:  $H_2 \rightarrow 2H^+ + 2e^-...$ 

Reaction of cathode: 
$$\frac{1}{2}O_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2O...$$
 (2.2)

(2.1)

Reaction of total cell: 
$$H_2 + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \rightarrow H_2O$$
 ... (2.3)

This reaction produces heat, water, and electricity.

#### 2.4.2. Mathematical Model

When current is taken and electrical energy is obtained, irreparable losses reduce the real Vcell (fuel cell voltage) from the state of Potential thermodynamic equilibrium (E). When there is a flow of current proportional to the electrical function accomplished by the cell, a departure from the thermodynamics potential appears. The difference between the equilibrium value and the excess potential is denoted by the symbol (). Over potentials are largely caused by potential activation (act), overpotential ohmic (ohmic), with over potential diffusion (diff). The equation for one Single fuel cell system is [33]:

$$V_{cell} = E + \eta_{act} + \eta_{ohmic} + \eta_{diff} \dots$$
(2.4)

wherein E is the permanent thermodynamic possibility of the H<sub>2</sub>+O<sub>2</sub> process.

### 2.4.3. Fuel Cells Faults

There are numerous flaws in fuel cells, nonetheless, the most prevalent are [14]:

- Air-reaction blower faults.
- A problem with the refrigeration system.
- Boost the fuel crossover.
- Pressure of hydrogen fault.

Table 2.1 compares different types of fuel cells [48]:

| Category             | Heat<br>∘C  | Output<br>(Kw) | Electrical<br>efficiency (%) |
|----------------------|-------------|----------------|------------------------------|
| Alkaline (AFC)       | 90 to 100   | 10 to 100      | 60                           |
| Phosphoric Acid      | 150 to 200  | 50 to 1000     | Less than40                  |
| (PAFC)               |             |                |                              |
| Solid Oxide (SOFC)   | 600 to 1000 | 1 to 3000      | 35 to 43                     |
| Molten Carbonate     | 600 to 700  | 1 to 1000      | 45 to 47                     |
| (MCFC)               |             |                |                              |
| Polymer Electrolyte  | 50 to 100   | 1 to 250       | 53 to 58                     |
| Membrane (PEM)       |             |                |                              |
| Direct methanol fuel | 60 to 200   | 0.001 to 100   | 40                           |
| cell (DMFC)          |             |                |                              |

Table 2.1. PEMFC against other forms of fuel cell technology.

#### 2.5. ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORKS (ANN)

An ANN is a mathematical framework that utilizes the system and functioning of biological networks of neurons. The data that travels through the network alters the framework of the ANN since the network of neurons changes or learns in some ways according to the input and output [34].

#### 2.5.1. Summary

AI approaches are increasingly being used to model environmental systems. Swarm information, systems based on rules, fuzzy theories, ANN, neural networks, cellular automata, genetic algorithms, multi-agent systems, a reinforcement learning, case-based reasoning, as well as hybrid systems are all types of AI techniques used in this dissertation because of their generalizability and respond to unanticipated inputs/patterns [35].

An ANN is made up of several units of processing. According to the ANN design type, each unit is linked to other units via numerous additional weighted connections.

Each unit's role is to receive information from neighbours or external sources, perform simple calculations, and then output the results to other units. Many units work in parallel at the same time; Figure 2.7 depicts the three kinds of activation processes found within each unit [36].



Figure 2.8. A unit's several activation functions.

The error signal is used by an algorithm to adjust the relative weights of each connection in order to improve system performance. The traditional BPNN is depicted in Figure 2.8. Algorithms are widely utilized to solve a wide range of actual issues [37].

#### 2.5.2. The Algorithm of Levenberg-Marquardt

It was established separately via Kenneth Levenberg as well as Donald Marquardt, and it presents a numerical approach to the problematic of reducing a function that is nonlinear. It is fast and has constant convergence. This approach is appropriate for problems with training of small and medium size in synthetic neural networks [38].

This technique is an iterative approach for locating the smallest value of a multidimensional function defined as the total number of squares of non-linear actual in value functions [39].



Figure 2.9. Structure of BPNN.

### PART 3

#### PEMFC FDC SYSTEM SIMULATION

### **3.1. INTRODUCTION**

This chapter describes the proposed system's specifications and details, including the types of fuel cells employed and the neural network chosen.

# **3.2. PROPOSED FRAMEWORK**

Figure 3.1 depicts a flowchart of the entire proposed system simulation phases.



Figure 3.1. Flowchart of the proposed system.

#### **3.3. MATLAB'S PEM FUEL CELLS**

The PEMFC was selected as a model to be researched and controlled due to its benefits over other forms of fuel cells.

The data set was collected, and the experiments were carried out in the Matlab/Simulink environment. Njoya's [48] PEMFC model is depicted in Figure 3.2.



Figure 3.2. PEMFC Block in MATLAB Simulink.

Fuel pressure 0.1 to 5 bar, Heating from 322 to 372 kelvin, , and air pressure 0.1 to 5 bar are the data ranges, with two outputs recorded for every reading (Current and Voltage).

Table 3.1 illustrates the parameters of the (6kw-45Vdc) model that was employed.

| Current version               | 6 kw 45Vdc |         |
|-------------------------------|------------|---------|
| Voltage at 0 and 1 amps       | 65volt     | 63volt  |
| Operating point nominal       | 133.3 amp  | 45volte |
| The highest operational point | 225 amp    | 37volt  |
| cells Number                  | 65         |         |
| Stack nominal performance     | 55%        |         |
| <b>Operation Heat</b>         | 65 Celsius |         |
| Fuel provides constraints     | 1.5 bar    |         |
| Provide of air pressure       | 1 bar      |         |

Table 3.1. Model parameters for PEMFC in Matlab.

The connection indicated in Figure 3.3 was used to get the inputoutput set of information for the fuel cell. The set constant block number (here 359 kelvin and the air and fuel pressures equal to 2 bar) can be used to change the inputs or parameters, as shown in the picture.



Figure 3.3. Connection for data acquisition.

### **3.4. DESIGN OF A NEURAL NETWORK**

There are other networks and structures, but the MLP Network is the most often utilized since it is simple and produces excellent results.

The Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm was employed to train the network since it

provides faster ANN training than other reasonable gradient techniques, as evidenced by experimental findings.



Figure 3.4. Flowchart of the back propagating algorithm training process.

A more generalized version of logistic regression is called SoftMax activation function.

The function is supplied by [49]: the model of neural networks built in Simulink with the sequence (gensim) in order that it may be tested and used in the next section's fault analysis. Figure 3.6 shows the NN Simulink block.



Figure 3.5. Block for creating a neural network Simulink.

The weights of the blocks besides the full ANN model are illustrated in Figure 3.7, whereby the starting hidden layer has 10 neurons, every single one which has an overview of the input data multiplication and the equivalent weight, that is only the Dot outcome process of vectors.



Figure 3.6. The NN model's internal structure.

#### **3.5. FAULTS TYPES**

This paragraph describes three sorts of faults, although the ANN must first be manually validated.

## 3.5.1. Model Examination

When a neural network simulation is established, it has the potential to be replicated a variety of failures. It is first linked to PEMFC Matlab simulator for evaluation, as illustrated in Figure 3.8.



Figure 3.7. Manually evaluating the model's achievement.

## 3.5.2. Fault Abrupt

As shown in Figure 3.9, this type of problem can be simulated by adding a step signal to the PEMFC's input signal. Look at the fourth input to the scope (the red arrow

indication in Figure 3.9). for more details. The PEMFC output voltage has been compared to the NN model output voltage, as well as an additional signal is created by subtraction.

In Figure 3.9, the index of faults indication is produced utilizing two threshold switches, one for positive and one for negative numerical values. For instance, at second 12, a step of 10 magnitude is introduced to ensure that the transitory shift is complete.



Figure 3.8. Fault Abrupt additional.

### 3.5.3. Fault Incipient

As shown in Figure 3.10, a ramp signal that is introduced to the PEMFC's input signal can simulate this kind of malfunction. The fuel pressure input in this figure has the fault added to it.



Figure 3.9. Fault Incipient additional.

# **3.5.4. Fault Intermittent**

This category of fault can be simulated by adding a arbitrary signal to the PEMFC's input signal, as illustrated in Figure 3.11. The fault is multiplied by the air pressure input in this picture.



Figure 3.10. Fault Intermittent additional.

# 3.6. SEPARATE AND AVOIDING CIRCUIT

After identifying the fault, the value of the parameter resulting in the fault should be specified separately. As a result, an isolation specifies and identifies the position of the defect.

Figure 3.12 depicts the constructed Isolation subsystem, and Figure 3.13 depicts its internal parts.

According to Table 3.3, the defect is defined by the result of the isolation circuit:

| Code for isolation output (F1F0) | Details                   |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 00                               | Free Fault                |
| 01                               | Fault of air pressure     |
| 10                               | Fault of fuel pressure    |
| 11                               | Fault of core temperature |

Table 3.2. The isolation circuit's output.

The "Avoid Transient" subsystem is intended to prevent changes in input during startup. Simply said, it blocks detecting faults for five seconds until the system stabilizes. Figure 3.14 depicts the internal organization of this subsystem.

If the fault lasted more than one second, the "Hold" component is utilized to maintain and keep the fault index signal. The inner connection is depicted in Figure 3.15.



Figure 3.11. Subsystem of separation.



Figure 3.12. Isolation subsystem internal structure.

The internal construction of the prevent transient block is depicted in Figure 3.13, which includes a gate of logic (AND) and the step functional external input. Even after the entire system has been completed and downloaded, the step signal supply can be altered.

The holding block is utilized to hold the failure when it occurs for a short length of time, therefore this block might be updated to hold only faults that occur for a period of 35 seconds or more than a particular time defined by the system as well as its degree of sensitivity. Figure 3.15 depicts the internal construction of the hold block.



Figure 3.13. The hold block's internal structure.



Figure 3.14. Hold circuit.

# 3.7. CLASSIFICATION CIRCUIT DESIGN

Following the separation of the fault, it should be specified which parameter triggered the fault. As a result, a classification the circuit is intended to specify and locate the fault.

The classification circuit is shown in Figure 3.16.



Figure 3.15. Detection and classification circuit.

### PART 4

#### FDC SYSTEM SIMULATION RESULTS

### **4.1. INTRODUCTION**

This current chapter depicts the Simulation Results implementations of the PEMFC FDC system, including all of its specifics, problems, and software cosimulation configuration. This chapter examines the software system's shortcomings. The linear technology presents numerous challenges to the neural network model.

#### **4.2. CONNECTING NN RESULTS**

After designing PEMFC with NN have the results and changing.

As demonstrated in Figure 4.1, the transient modification and fuel cell starting are ignored. For current and voltage, the two designs provide roughly the identical steady-state output. The PEMFC output is (51.3V, 116.7A), while the NN model output is (51.25V, 117.08A).

After that, three kinds of faults are introduced into the Matlab framework, which replaces the actual PEMCF in the true connection, in addition its results correspond to the NN model results, after which residual and defect index signals are created.

The fault indicator signal is an electrical signal that increases whenever there is a defect and decreases while there isn't a fault.



Figure 4.1. Results of NN modeling test circuit.

Three fault types were investigated, and the comprehensive findings are provided below:

# 4.2.1. Abrupt Fault Results

After completing the connecting in this way, we will get the result as shown in the figure 4.2.



Figure 4.2. Abrupt fault addition signals.

By altering the step amplitude and threshold, similar results are obtained. The second and third inputs can both get the fault.

Examples of abrupt fault in actual, functional PEMFCs are:

- An unexpected crack in a pipe or valve.
- A collision with an outside object that modifies the cell's properties.

# 4.2.2. Incipient Fault Results

After completing the connecting in this way, we will get the result as shown in the figure 4.3.



Figure 4.3. Incipient fault addition signals.

For instance, if the ramp has a slope of 4, a start time of 6, and a threshold of 0.5, the initial drop in fuel pressure results in a progressive drop in output voltage. The system detected declines when the residual amount surpassed the threshold value.

Some instances of initiation faults in real-world PEMFCs include:

- A pipe or valve leak that causes the outputs to gradually drop.
- A cooling system malfunction that causes the temperature to rise or fall.

# 4.2.3. Intermittent Fault Results

After completing the connecting in this way, we will get the result as shown in the figure 4.4.



Figure 4.4. Intermittent fault addition signals.

A change in the output voltage results from triggered by an occasional shift in fuel pressure, for instance, by a random signal with an amplitude of 0.3, a sample duration of 0.3, and a threshold of 0.5. The issue is accurately detected by the system. According to that figure, whenever the residual signal exceeds the threshold 0.5 the output is minimal, as indicated by the red dots, and if the residual signal is less than thresholds, the output is high, as indicated by the green spot.

An example of an intermittent fault in a real-world PEMFC is a brief change in heat or any of the parameter values caused by an external factor.

### 4.3. CLASSIFICATION AND DETECTING FAULT RESULTS

After completing the electrical circuit design to classify and detect faults as shown in figure 4.5.



Figure 4.5. Detection and classification.

The input voltages can be classified and detected by changing the input for multistage voltage shown in figure 4.6.

| 🛐 Block Parameters: Multistage Fault  | $\times$ |
|---|----------|
| Three-Phase Fault (mask) (link)   |          |
| Implements a fault (short-circuit) between any phase and the ground. When the external switching time mode is selected, a Simulink logical signal is used to control the fault operation. |          |
| Parameters  |          |
| Initial status: 0   | :        |
| Fault between:  |          |
| Phase A   Phase B   Phase C   Ground  |          |
| Switching times (s): [0.1 0.25] Exter   | mal      |
| Fault resistance Ron (Ohm): 🔺 rf  | :        |
| Ground resistance Rg (Ohm): 0.01  | :        |
| Snubber resistance Rs (Ohm): 1e6  |          |
| Snubber capacitance Cs (F): inf   | :        |
| Measurements None   | •        |
|   |          |
| OK Cancel Help Appl   | y        |

Figure 4.6. Multistage fault.

# 4.4. INPUT CHANGING DETECTING AND CLASSIFICATION

Now we can change the input voltages, classify them, and detect the Faults for each voltage separately.

We can dividing the changing by a cases.

## 4.4.1. Case A Faut

Choosing A and G (grown) as shown in figure 4.7. showing by the multistage voltage.

| Block Parameters: Multistage Fault  | $\times$ |
|---|----------|
| Three-Phase Fault (mask) (link)   |          |
| Implements a fault (short-circuit) between any phase and the ground. When the external switching time mode is selected, a Simulink logical signal is used to control the fault operation. |          |
| Parameters  |          |
| Initial status: 0   |          |
| Fault between:  |          |
| ✓ Phase A Phase B Phase C ✓ Ground Unapplied change   |          |
| Switchir Phase A (Name: FaultA)   | nal      |
| Fault resistance Ron (Ohm): rf  | •        |
| Ground resistance Rg (Ohm): 0.01  |          |
| Snubber resistance Rs (Ohm): 1e6  |          |
| Snubber capacitance Cs (F): inf   |          |
| Measurements None   | -        |
|   |          |
| OK Cancel Help Appl   | y        |

Figure 4.7. Multistage line A.



Figure 4.8. Line A fault addition signal.

After choosing A in multistage the fault signal shown just in the line A and the fault detection represented the A faults as shown in figure 4.8.

## 4.4.2. Case B fault

Choosing B and G (grown) as shown in figure 4.7. showing by the multistage voltage.

| 🔁 Block Parameters: Multistage Fault  | $\times$ |
|---|----------|
| Three-Phase Fault (mask) (link)   |          |
| Implements a fault (short-circuit) between any phase and the ground. When the external switching time mode is selected, a Simulink logical signal is used to control the fault operation. | -        |
| Parameters  |          |
| Initial status: 0   |          |
| Fault between:  |          |
| □ Phase A   |          |
| Switching times (s): [0.1 0.25]   | mal      |
| Fault resistance Ron (Ohm): rf  |          |
| Ground resistance Rg (Ohm): 0.01  |          |
| Snubber resistance Rs (Ohm): 1e6  |          |
| Snubber capacitance Cs (F): inf   |          |
| Measurements None   | -        |
|   |          |
| OK Cancel Help App  | y        |

Figure 4.9. Multistage line B.



Figure 4.10. Line B fault addition signal.

After choosing B in multistage the fault signal shown just in the line B and the fault detection represented the A faults as shown in figure 4.10.

### 4.4.3. Case C Fault

Choosing C and G (grown) as shown in figure 4.11. showing by the multistage voltage.

| Block Parameters: Multistage Fault  | $\times$ |
|---|----------|
| Three-Phase Fault (mask) (link)   |          |
| Implements a fault (short-circuit) between any phase and the ground. When the external switching time mode is selected, a Simulink logical signal is used to control the fault operation. |          |
| Parameters  |          |
| Initial status: 0   | :        |
| Fault between:  |          |
| Phase A Phase B Phase C Ground  |          |
| Switching times (s): [0.1 0.25] Exter   | nal      |
| Fault resistance Ron (Ohm): rf  |          |
| Ground resistance Rg (Ohm): 0.01  |          |
| Snubber resistance Rs (Ohm): 1e6  |          |
| Snubber capacitance Cs (F): inf   | :        |
| Measurements None   | -        |
|   |          |
| OK Cancel Help Appl   | y        |

Figure 4.11. Multistage line C.



Figure 4.12. Line C fault addition signa.

After choosing C in multistage the fault signal shown just in the line C and the fault detection represented the C faults as shown in figure 4.12.

### 4.4.4. Case AB Fault

Choosing AB and G (grown) as shown in figure 4.13. showing by the multistage voltage.

| 🚹 Block Parameters: Multistage Fault  | $\times$ |
|---|----------|
| Three-Phase Fault (mask) (link)   |          |
| Implements a fault (short-circuit) between any phase and the ground. When the external switching time mode is selected, a Simulink logical signal is used to control the fault operation. |          |
| Parameters  |          |
| Initial status: 0   |          |
| Fault between:  |          |
| ✓ Phase A ✓ Phase B   |          |
| Switching times (s): [0.1 0.25]   | nal      |
| Fault resistance Ron (Ohm): rf  |          |
| Ground resistance Rg (Ohm): 0.01  | :        |
| Snubber resistance Rs (Ohm): 1e6  |          |
| Snubber capacitance Cs (F): inf   | :        |
| Measurements None   | •        |
|   |          |
| OK Cancel Help Apply  | /        |

Figure 4.13. Multistage line AB.



Figure 4.14. Line AB fault addition signal.

After choosing AB in multistage the fault signal shown just in the line AB and the fault detection represented the AB faults as shown in figure 4.14.

# 4.4.5. Case AC Fault

Choosing AB and G (grown) as shown in figure 4.15. showing by the multistage voltage.

| Block Parameters: Multistage Fault  | $\times$ |
|---|----------|
| Three-Phase Fault (mask) (link)   | _        |
| Implements a fault (short-circuit) between any phase and the ground. When the external switching time mode is selected, a Simulink logical signal is used to control the fault operation. |          |
| Parameters  |          |
| Initial status: 0   | :        |
| Fault between:  |          |
| ✓ Phase A   |          |
| Switching times (s): [0.1 0.25]   | nal      |
| Fault resistance Ron (Ohm): rf  | :        |
| Ground resistance Rg (Ohm): 0.01  | :        |
| Snubber resistance Rs (Ohm): 1e6  | :        |
| Snubber capacitance Cs (F): inf   | :        |
| Measurements None   | -        |
|   |          |
| OK Cancel Help Appl   | y        |

Figure 4.15. Multistage line AC.



Figure 4.16. Line AC fault addition signal

After choosing AC in multistage the fault signal shown just in the line AC and the fault detection represented the AC faults as shown in figure 4.16.

#### 4.4.6. Case BC Fault

Choosing AB and G (grown) as shown in figure 4.17. showing by the multistage voltage.

| Block Parameters: Multistage Fault  | ×   |
|---|-----|
| Three-Phase Fault (mask) (link)   |     |
| Implements a fault (short-circuit) between any phase and the ground. When the external switching time mode is selected, a Simulink logical signal is used to control the fault operation. |     |
| Parameters  |     |
| Initial status: 0   | :   |
| Fault between:  |     |
| Phase A Phase B Phase C Ground  |     |
| Switching times (s): [0.1 0.25]   | mal |
| Fault resistance Ron (Ohm): rf  | :   |
| Ground resistance Rg (Ohm): 0.01  | :   |
| Snubber resistance Rs (Ohm): 1e6  | :   |
| Snubber capacitance Cs (F): inf   | :   |
| Measurements None   | •   |
|   |     |
| OK Cancel Help App  | У   |

Figure 4.17. Multistage line BC.



Figure 4.18. Line AC fault addition signal.

When choosing BC in multistage the fault signal shown just in the line BC and the fault detection represented the BC faults as shown in figure 4.18.

### 4.4.7. Case ABC Fault

Choosing AB and G (grown) as shown in figure 4.17. showing by the multistage voltage.

| 🔁 Block Parameters: Multistage Fault  | $\times$ |
|---|----------|
| Three-Phase Fault (mask) (link)   |          |
| Implements a fault (short-circuit) between any phase and the ground. When the external switching time mode is selected, a Simulink logical signal is used to control the fault operation. |          |
| Parameters  | _        |
| Initial status: 0   |          |
| Fault between:  |          |
| ✓ Phase A ✓ Phase B ✓ Phase C ✓ Ground  |          |
| Switching times (s): [0.1 0.25] : Exter   | nal      |
| Fault resistance Ron (Ohm): rf  | :        |
| Ground resistance Rg (Ohm): 0.01  |          |
| Snubber resistance Rs (Ohm): 1e6  |          |
| Snubber capacitance Cs (F): inf   |          |
| Measurements None   | •        |
|   |          |
| OK Cancel Help Appl   | Y        |

Figure 4.19. Multistage line ABC.



Figure 4.20. Line ABC fault addition signa.

When choosing ABC in multistage the fault signal shown just in the line ABC and the fault detection represented the ABC faults as shown in figure 4.20.

We conclude from these results that we can identify and classify faults by this circuit and we can also add to it the fuel cell.

### 4.4.8. Case AB Fault

Choosing AB as shown in figure 4.21. showing by the multistage voltage.

| 🚹 Block Parameters: Multistage Fault  | $\times$ |
|---|----------|
| Three-Phase Fault (mask) (link)   |          |
| Implements a fault (short-circuit) between any phase and the ground. When the external switching time mode is selected, a Simulink logical signal is used to control the fault operation. |          |
| Parameters  |          |
| Initial status: 0   | :        |
| Fault between:  |          |
| Phase A   Phase B   Phase C   Ground  |          |
| Switching times (s): [0.1 0.25] Exter   | nal      |
| Fault resistance Ron (Ohm): rf  | :        |
| Ground resistance Rg (Ohm): 0.01  | :        |
| Snubber resistance Rs (Ohm): 1e6  | :        |
| Snubber capacitance Cs (F): inf   | :        |
| Measurements None   | •        |
|   |          |
| OK Cancel Help Appl   | y        |

Figure 4.21. Multistage line AB.



Figure 4.22. Line AB fault addition signal.

After choosing AB in multistage the fault signal shown just in the line AB and the fault detection represented the AB faults as shown in figure 4.22.

# 4.4.9. Case AC Fault

Choosing AC as shown in figure 4.23. showing by the multistage voltage.

| 🔁 Block Parameters: Multistage Fault  | $\times$ |
|---|----------|
| Three-Phase Fault (mask) (link)   |          |
| Implements a fault (short-circuit) between any phase and the ground. When the external switching time mode is selected, a Simulink logical signal is used to control the fault operation. |          |
| Parameters  |          |
| Initial status: 0   |          |
| Fault between:  |          |
| ✓ Phase A □ Phase B ✓ Phase C □ Ground  |          |
| Switching times (s): [0.1 0.25] Extern  | nal      |
| Fault resistance Ron (Ohm): rf  |          |
| Ground resistance Rg (Ohm): 0.01  | :        |
| Snubber resistance Rs (Ohm): 1e6  |          |
| Snubber capacitance Cs (F): inf   |          |
| Measurements None   | •        |
|   |          |
|   |          |

Figure 4.23. Multistage line AC.



Figure 4.24. Line AC fault addition signal.

After choosing AC in multistage the fault signal shown just in the line AC and the fault detection represented the AC faults as shown in figure 4.24.

### 4.4.9. Case BC Fault

Choosing BC as shown in figure 4.25. showing by the multistage voltage.

| Block Parameters: Multistage Fault  | $\times$ |
|---|----------|
| Three-Phase Fault (mask) (link)   |          |
| Implements a fault (short-circuit) between any phase and the ground. When the external switching time mode is selected, a Simulink logical signal is used to control the fault operation. |          |
| Parameters  |          |
| Initial status: 0   |          |
| Fault between:  |          |
| □ Phase A   |          |
| Switching times (s): [0.1 0.25]   | nal      |
| Fault resistance Ron (Ohm): rf  |          |
| Ground resistance Rg (Ohm): 0.01  | Ξ        |
| Snubber resistance Rs (Ohm): 1e6  | :        |
| Snubber capacitance Cs (F): inf   |          |
|   |          |
| Measurements None   | -        |
| Measurements None   | •        |

Figure 4.25. Multistage line BC.



Figure 4.26. Line BC fault addition signal.

After choosing BC in multistage the fault signal shown just in the line BC and the fault detection represented the BC faults as shown in figure 4.26.

### 4.4.10. Case ABC Fault

Choosing ABC as shown in figure 4.27. showing by the multistage voltage.

| 🔁 Block Parameters: Multistage Fault  | $\times$ |
|---|----------|
| Three-Phase Fault (mask) (link)   |          |
| Implements a fault (short-circuit) between any phase and the ground. When the external switching time mode is selected, a Simulink logical signal is used to control the fault operation. |          |
| Parameters  |          |
| Initial status: 0   |          |
| Fault between:  |          |
| ✓ Phase A ✓ Phase B ✓ Phase C   |          |
| Switching times (s): [0.1 0.25] : Exter   | nal      |
| Fault resistance Ron (Ohm): rf  | :        |
| Ground resistance Rg (Ohm): 0.01  | :        |
| Snubber resistance Rs (Ohm): 1e6  | :        |
| Snubber capacitance Cs (F): inf   | :        |
| Measurements None   | •        |
|   |          |
|   |          |

Figure 4.27. Multistage line ABC.



Figure 4.28. Line ABC fault addition signal.

After choosing ABC in multistage the fault signal shown just in the line ABC and the fault detection represented the ABC faults as shown in figure 4.28.

### 4.5. CONNECTION TO THE ENTIRE SYSTEM

As shown in Figure 4.21, the NN framework, fault index, and categorization circuits are all linked together.



Figure 4.27. All black boxes will be tested.

The names of the blocks in Figure 4.21 have been altered to correspond with the FDC system inputs, which will be produced by utilizing the "system generation" token. Figure 4.22 shows the signals from the scope.


Figure 4.28. Signals of Figure 4.21's scope.

As shown in Figure 4.21, the fault indicator triggers the isolation, revealing the reason of the fault, when the residual signal exceeds the threshold, which has one value (i.e., -1 to +1, which is colored). F0F1=11 indicates the previously observed Core temperature issue. As can be seen, the initial Fault Indicator pulse, which is marked by a red window, was disregarded for four seconds whereas the circuit output is recorded with blue windows.

## 4.6. STUDIES IN COMPARISON TO OTHER LITERATURE

Table 4.1 and flow chart 4.1 show a comparison with the most comparable investigate discovered in the investigation for speed, complication, reconfigurability, in addition hardware application.

| Investigation | The degree of<br>complexity | velocity  | Adaptable |
|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| This study    | linear and                  | more-fast | yes       |
|               | Simple                      |           |           |
| Mahanijah [1] | Simple but                  | Normal PC |           |
|               | nonlinear                   | speed     | No        |
| Ali [21]      | Simple but                  | Normal PC | No        |
|               | nonlinear                   | speed     |           |
| Sun Tao [12]  | nonlinear and               | Normal PC |           |
|               | Complex                     | speed     | No        |

Table 4.1. Compared to Other Investigations.



Figure 4.29. Studies in Comparison to Other Literature.

## PART 5

#### **CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

## **5.1. CONCLUSIONS**

This research yields numerous conclusions, the most noteworthy of which are:

- Matlab and Simulink are used to study and construct three different types of PEM fuel cell failures. and it is noted that ANNs are effective for FDC systems.
- Using ANNs to classification the fouls.
- Using Matlab to construct the system circuits allows you to categorize the output faults and the input voltage.
- The FDC system designing for this work is adaptable, allowing the threshold window to be modified depending on the application, the level of safety necessary, as well as the NN's MSE. Therefore, Lowering the threshold window enhances safety and sensitivities, but the cost should also be considered. In this thesis, for example, a defect initiation of (10 for heat, 5 for fuel the pressure, with 5 for air pressures) was used in the last phases of the design process with a threshold of (+1 to -1).

# **5.2. FUTURE WORK RECOMMENDATIONS**

Many more strategies and additions can be used to improve the system design, some of which are as follows:

- Other innovative approaches, such as fuzzy, ANFIS, in addition RBF ANN, could be adapted to simulate the PEM fuel cell as well as the classification with isolation circuit.
- Investigate the fuel cell's startup, or the initial phase of operation, by adding

more FDC system building blocks.

- The phase of diagnosis can be introduced to make an improved decision while taking into consideration real-world scenarios and past reports by utilizing the code that is output of the isolation as well as classification, residual, in addition fault index signals.
- The method used in the suggested system's design can be applied to different applications, such as wind turbines, photovoltaic cells, etc.
- Making a configurable system so that the settings can be modified for improved functioning and online correction.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Mahanijah M. Kamal and Dingli Yu, "Fault Diagnosis for Fuel Cell Stack Using Independent MLP Neural Network", 2nd International Conference on Innovations in Engineering and Technology, Penang (Malaysia), sept. 19-20, 2014.
- [2] Mahanijah Md Kamal and Dingli Yu, "Model-Based Fault Detection for Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell Systems", International Journal of Engineering, Science and Technology Vol. 3, No. 9, pp. 1-15, 2011.
- [3] Miao Du, Rahul Gandhi and Prashant Mhaskar, "Fault Detection and Isolation and Safe-Parking of Networked Systems ", American Control Conference, San Francisco, CA, USA, 2010.
- [4] R.S. Khurmi and R.S. Sedha, "Materials Science", S. Chand & Company Ltd, 2014
- [5] Sun Tao, Cao Guang-yi and ZHU Xin-jian, "Nonlinear Modeling of PEMFC Based on Neural Networks Identification ", Journal of Zhejiang University of SCIENCE A, Volume 6, Issue 5, pp 365- 370, 2005.
- [6] Francisco da Costa Lopes, Edson H. Watanabe and Luís Guilherme B. Rolim, "A Control-Oriented Model of a PEM Fuel Cell Stack Based on NARX and NOE Neural Networks", IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, Volume:62, pp. 5155 -5163, Issue: 8, 2015.
- [7] Rumelhart, D. E., Hinton, G.E., Williams, R. J.," Learning Internal Representations by Error Propagation, "Parallel Distributed Processing: Explorations in the Microstructure of Cognition, 1986.
- [8] T. Escobet, D. Feroldi, S. de Lira, V. Puig, J. Quevedo, J. Riera and M. Serra, "Model-Based Fault Diagnosis in PEM Fuel Cell Systems", Elsevier Inc., Journal of Power Sources, Volume 192, Issue 1, Pages 216–223, July 2009.
- [9] Xilinx FPGA developer team, " Spartan-3A FPGA Family: Data Sheet", DS529 August 19, 2010.
- [10] Eduardo Augusto Bezerra and Djones Vinicius Lettnin, "Synthesizable VHDL Design for FPGAs", Springer, 2014.
- [11] Janardan Misra and Indranil Saha, "Artificial Neural Networks in Hardware: A Survey of Two Decades of Progress", Sciencedirect, Neurocomputing, Volume 74, Issues 1–3, Pages 239–255, December 2010.
- [12] Sun Tao, Yan Si-jia, CAO Guang-yi and ZHU Xin-jian, "Modelling and Control PEMFC Using Fuzzy Neural Networks ", Journal of Zhejiang University of Science

A, Volume 6, Issue 10, pp 1084-1089, October 2005.

- [13] Luis A.M. Riascos, Marcelo G. Simões and Paulo E. Miyagi, "Fault Identification in Fuel Cells Based on Bayesian Network Diagnosis", ABCM Symposium Series in Mechatronics, Vol. 2, pp.757-764, 2006.
- [14] Luis A.M. Riascos, Marcelo G. Simões and Paulo E. Miyagi, " On- line Fault Diagnostic System for Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells ", Journal of Power Sources, Volume 175, Issue 1, Pages 419–429, January 2008.
- [15] Yuedong Zhan, Hua Wang, Jianguo Zhu and Youguang Guo, "Fault Monitoring and Control of PEM Fuel Cell as Backup Power for UPS Applications", IEEE Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition, San Jose, CA, pp.631 - 638, Sept. 2009.
- [16] M. ELSayed Youssef, Moataz H.Khalil and Khairia E.AL-NAdi,"Neural Network Modeling for Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell (PEMFC)", Advances in Information Sciences and Service Sciences, Volume 2, Number 2, pp.61-66, June 2010.
- [17] D. Benazzouz, S. Benammar and S. Adjerid, "Fault Detection and Isolation Based on Neural Networks Case Study: Steam Turbine," Energy and Power Engineering, Vol. 3 No. 4, pp. 513-516, 2011.
- [18] A. Aitouche, Q. Yang and B. Ould Bouamama, "Fault Detection and Isolation of PEM Fuel Cell System based on Nonlinear Analytical Redundancy", Eur. Phys. J. Appl. Phys., Volume 54, Number 2, May 2011.
- [19] Erkan Dursun and Osman Kilic, "The Levenberg-Marquardt neural network model of the PEMFC's MEA", Environment and Electrical Engineering (EEEIC), 10th International Conference, Rome, pp. 1-4, May 2011.
- [20] Meng -Hui, Wang and Mu-Jia Chen, "Design of a Fault Diagnosis System for PEM Fuel Cells", IEEE International Symposium on Computer, Consumer and Control, Taichung, pp.460-463, June 2012.
- [21] Ali Mohammadi, Abdesslem Djerdir, David Bouquain, Beatrice Bouriot and Davood Khaburi, "Fault Sensitive Modeling and Diagnosis of PEM Fuel Cell for Automotive Applications", IEEE Transportation Electrification Conference and Expo (ITEC), Detroit, MI, pp. 1-6, June 2013.
- [22] Mahanijah Md Kamal And Dingli Yu, "Fault Detection and Isolation using RBF Networks for Polymer Electrolyte Membrane Fuel Cell", World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology Vol: 7, April 2013.
- [23] R. Petrone, Z. Zheng, D. Hissel, M.C. Pe´ra, C. Pianese, M. Sorrentino, M. Becherif and N. Yousfi-Steiner, "A Review on Model-Based Diagnosis Methodologies for PEMFCs", International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, Volume 38, Issue 17, pp. 7077–7091, June 2013.
- [24] Ali Mohammadi, Abdesslem Djerdir, Nadia Yousfi Steiner, David Bouquain and Davood Khaburi," Diagnosis of PEMFC for Automotive Application ", IEEE, Energy

(IYCE), 5th International Youth Conference, Pisa, pp. 1-6, May 2015.

- [25] Zhiwei Gao, Carlo Cecati and Steven X. Ding, "A Survey of Fault Diagnosis and Fault-Tolerant Techniques Part I: Fault Diagnosis with Model-Based and Signal-Based Approaches", IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, Volume 62, Issue 6, pp.3768–3774, April 2015.
- [26] Krzysztof Patan, "Artificial Neural Networks for the Modelling and Fault Diagnosis of Technical Processes", Springer Science & Business Media, Technology & Engineering, 2008.
- [27] Abbas H. Issa and Hawraa F. Baqir, "Fault Detection And Isolation Based On Hybrid Sliding Mode Observer And Fuzzy Logic", Kufa Journal of Engineering, Vol. 6, Issue 1, December, 2014.
- [28] Rolf Isermann, "Model-based fault-detection and diagnosis status and applications", Annual Reviews in Control, Volume 29, Issue 1, pp.71–85, 2005.
- [29] Rolf Isermann, "Fault-Diagnosis Systems: An Introduction from Fault Detection to Fault Tolerance", 2<sup>nd</sup> 2ddition, Springer-Verlag GmbH, Automatic control, 2011.
- [30] Soila P. Kavulya, Kaustubh Joshi, Felicita Di Giandomenico, Priya Narasimhan, "Failure Diagnosis of Complex Systems", Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp.239-261, 2012.
- [31] Inseok Hwang, Sungwan Kim, Youdan Kim and Chze Eng Seah, "A Survey of Fault Detection, Isolation, and Reconfiguration Methods", IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology, Volume: 18, Issue: 3, pp.636-653, May 2010.
- [32] Pruthiraj Swain, Debashisha Jena, "Modeling, Simulation & Optimal Control of Non-Linear PEM Fuel Cell with Disturbance Input", IEEE Sponsored 2nd International Conference on Innovations in Information Embedded and Communication Systems, pp. 1-7, March 2015.
- [33] Maher A.R. Sadiq Al-Baghdadi, "Performance Optimization of a PEM Hydrogen-Oxygen Fuel Cell" The International Journal of Energy and Environment, Volume 4, Issue 2, pp.175-184, 2013.
- [34] James A. Freeman and David M. Skapura, "Neural Networks: Algorithms, Applications, and Programming Techniques", Addison-Wesley, 1991.
- [35] Serena H. Chen, Anthony J. Jakeman and John P. Norton,"Artificial Intelligence techniques: An introduction to their use for modelling environmental systems", Elsevier, Mathematics and Computers in Simulation 78, pp.379-400, 2008.
- [36] Ben Krose and Patrick van der Smagt, "An Introduction to Neural Networks", 8<sup>th</sup> edition, University of Amsterdam, November 1996.
- [37] Saduf and Mohd Arif Wani, "Comparative Study of Back Propagation Learning Algorithms for Neural Networks", International Journal of Advanced Research in

Computer Science and Software Engineering, Volume 3, Issue 12, December 2013.

- [38] Bogdan M. Wilamowski And J. david Irwin, "The Industrial Electronics Handbook, Intelligent Systems ", 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, Taylor and Francis Group, 2011.
- [39] Hongwei Liu, "On the Levenberg-Marquardt Training Method for Feed-Forward Neural Networks", Sixth International Conference on Natural Computation, Yantai, Shandong, Volume: 1, pp.456-460, Aug. 2010.
- [40] Ian Kuon, Russell Tessier and Jonathan Rose, "FPGA Architecture: Survey and Challenges, Foundations and Trends R in Electronic Design Automation", Now Publishers Inc., Volume 2, No 2, pp.135-253, 2007.
- [41] Gina R. Smith, "FPGAs 101 Everything you Need to Know to Get Started ", Elsevier Inc., Newnes, 2010.
- [42] Thomas L. Floyd, "Digital Fundamental", Person Education International, 9<sup>th</sup>, 2006.
- [43] Pong P. Chu, "FPGA Prototyping By Verilog Examples: Xilinx Spartan-3 Version", John Wiley & Sons, 2011
- [44] Donald G. Bailey, "Design for Embedded Image Processing on FPGAs", Wiley, 2011.
- [45] Clive Maxfield, "FPGAs: Instant Access", Elsevier Inc., Newnes, 2008.
- [46] Peter R. Wilson, "Design Recipes for FPGAs Electronics & Electrical Embedded technology series", Newnes, 2007.
- [47] S. Mekhilef, R. Saidur and A. Safari, "Comparative Study of Different Fuel Cell Technologies", Elsevier, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, Volume 16, Issue 1, pp.981-989, January 2012.
- [48] Souleman Njoya M., Olivier Tremblay and Louis-A. Dessaint, "A Generic Fuel Cell Model for the Simulation of Fuel Cell Vehicles", IEEE Vehicle Power and Propulsion Conference, Dearborn, pp.1722-1729, Sept. 2009.
- [49] Christopher M.Bishop, "Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning", Information Science and Statistics, Springer-Verlag New York, 2006.
- [50] R. Sreedhar, B.Fernandez, G. Y. Masada, "Robust Fault Detection in Nonlinear Systems Using Sliding Mode Observers", Second IEEE Conference on Control Applications, pp. 715-721, 1993.
- [51] A.L.Dexter, "Fuzzy Model Based Fault Diagnosis", IEE Pro-Control Theory Appl., Vol. 142, No. 6, pp. 545-550, 1995.
- [52] C. K. Mechefske, "Objective Machinery Fault Diagnosis Using Fuzzy Logic", Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, pp. 855-862, 1998.

- [53] Weber P., Gentil S., Ripoll P., Foulloy L., "Multiple Fault Detection and Isolation", IFAC Symposium, 1999.
- [54] C. Commault, J-M. Dion, O. Sename and R. Motyeian, "Observer Based Fault Detection and Isolation for Structured Systems", IEEE CDC, pp. 1-13, 2000.
- [55] Michał Z. BartyŚ, Jan M. KoŚcielny, "Applications of Fuzzy Logic Fault Isolation Methods for Actuator Diagnosis", IFAC Symposium, 2002.
- [56] A. Akhenak, M. Chadli, D. Maquin, J. Ragot, "Sliding Mode Multiple Observer for Fault Detection and Isolation", Proceedings of The 24nd IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, pp. 953-958, 2003.
- [57] O.A.Z. Sotomayor, D. Odloak, E. Alcorta-Garcia, P. de León-Cantón, "Observer Based Supervision and Fault Detection of A FCC Unit Model Predictive Control System", IFAC Symposium, 2004.
- [58] Xing-Gang Yan and Christopher Edwards, "Robust sliding mode observer-Based Actuator Fault Detection and Isolation for A Class of Nonlinear Systems", Proceedings of the 44th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, and the European Control Conference, pp. 987-992., 2005.
- [59] M.Rolink, T. Boukhobza and D.Sauter, "High Order Sliding Mode Observer for Fault Actuator Estimation and its Application to The Three Tanks Benchmark", Author manuscript, Vol. 1, pp. 1-7, 2006.
- [60] J. Juan Rinon Pasaye and Rafael Martinez-Guerra, "Fault Diagnosis by means of Sliding Mode Techniques", 4th International Conference on Electrical and Electronics Engineering ICEEE, pp. 318-321, 2007.
- [61] M. Siahi, M.A. Sadrnia and A. Darabi, "Fault Diagnosis Using Adaptive Technique", Journal of Applied Sciences, pp. 4129-4136, 2008.
- [62] L.F. Mendonca, J.M.G. Sousa, and J.M.G. Sa da Costa, "An Architecture for Fault Detection and Isolation Based Fuzzy Methods", Elsevier Ltd, Expert System with Applications (36), pp. 1092-1104, 2009.
- [63] Padmakumar S., Vivek Agarwal, and Kallol Roy, "A Comparative Study into Observer based Fault Detection and Diagnosis in DC Motors: Part-I", International Journal of Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering, pp. 101-106, 2010.
- [64] L. M. Capisani, A. Ferrara, A. Ferreira de Loza and L. Fridman, "Manipulators Fault Diagnosis via Higher Order Sliding Mode Observers", IEEE, pp. 1-8, 2011.
- [65] C. Zhang, M. Yan, J. He, and C. Luo, "LMI-Based Sliding Mode Observers for Incipient Faults Detection in Nonlinear System", Hindawi Publishing Corporation ,Journal of Applied Mathematics, pp. 1-13, 2012.
- [66] Rolf Isermann, "Fault Diagnosis Systems An Introduction from Fault Detection to Fault Tolerance", Springer, 2006.

[67] S. Simani , C. Fantuzzi and R. J. Patton "Model-Based Fault Diagnosis in Dynamic Systems Using Identification Techniques" Springer, 2002.

## **CURRICULUM VITAE**

Rafah Hussein Jumaah ALZURFI, Electrical and Electronic engineer, graduated from the College of Engineering, Technology University, Iraq. he obtained her bachelor's degree in 2011. he is currently studying for a master's degree at Karabük University in the field of Electrical and Electronic engineering